# **Proposal to Develop a Generic Tracking Tool**

Submission: 24 May, 2019

Principal Investigator: Sergei Chekanov and José Repond<sup>1</sup> (Argonne National Laboratory)

Investigators: Jessica Metcalfe, Manoj Jadhav, Paul Reimer (Argonne National Laboratory)

**Abstract:** In the context of the TOPSiDE detector concept, we propose to develop a generic package for the tracking of charged particles. The development will start with the implementation of a tracking algorithm based on conventional techniques, such as the Kalman filter. In a second step we propose to develop a tracking algorithm based on machine-learning techniques. The latter will provide a) some independence from the geometrical layout of the tracker, and b) circumvent the need to retune the tracking algorithm by hand after geometrical changes during the detector optimization process.

<sup>1</sup> Contact person: repond@anl.gov

#### **Introduction: TOPSIDE**

TOPSIDE [1] is a modern EIC detector concept based on novel detector paradigms and technologies recently developed by the High-Energy and Nuclear physics community. The tracking of charged particles is achieved with a precision vertex detector and a five-layer silicon tracker in the barrel region, supplemented with the appropriate number of forward disks. The calorimeter is imaging, i.e. it features extremely fine granularity, both laterally and longitudinally [2]. The particle identification (pion-kaon-proton separation) is obtained by precision timing in the calorimeter. In the very forward (hadron) region, the detector features a Ring Imaging Čerenkov counter, a dipole magnet, and additional tracking disks and again imaging calorimetry. In the backward (electron) direction, the required energy resolution is obtained by a crystal calorimeter, again with fine granularity. The central solenoid is placed outside the calorimeter.

There are many advantages to this approach: Each particle produced in ep/eA collisions is measured individually with the subdetector providing the best momentum/energy resolution (Particle Flow paradigm) [3]; the energy resolution for (neutral particles) can be improved through software compensation techniques [4]; due to the imaging capability of the calorimeter, a muon identification system becomes redundant; the material in front of the calorimeter is minimized, as there is no need for additional time-of-flight counters, Čerenkov counters or Transition Radiation Detectors; the output of the detector is a list of identified particles with their momenta, similar to the hadron level output of Monte Carlo simulations.

The advantages for physics are many as well: increased photon detection efficiency (due to the minimal amount of 'dead' material in front of the calorimeter; improved kinematic reconstruction (due to the excellent reconstruction (energy and angle) of the hadronic system), improved jet reconstruction (again due to the excellent measurement of the hadronic system); improved background rejection (same as previously) etc. This will result in an optimal use of the luminosity and provide precision measurements for all physics processes of interest at the EIC.

#### Introduction: The simulation of TOPSiDE

We have assembled a complete tool chain for the simulation of the TOPSiDE detector concept and any other detector concept proposed for the EIC, for that matter. Table I lists the various simulation and event reconstruction steps and the tools available for their implementation.

| Task  | Tool                    |
|---|-------------------------|
| Generate collision events                               | Lepto, PYTHIA8, Milou   |
| Transport of particles through matter                   | GEANT4                  |
| Digitizing the response (making it look like real data) | Digitizer, e.g. RPC_sim |
| Data Model  | ProIO                   |
| Reconstruct tracks                                      | Genfind, Genfit         |

| Reconstruct particles | Particle Flow Algorithm |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Depository for events | HepSim                  |  |
| Analyze events        | Root                    |  |
| Event display         | Root based              |  |

**Table I.** List of links in the simulation tool chain.

The data model, ProIO, deserves special mention, as it was recently developed (at Argonne) and has been adopted by the EIC software community.

The geometry implementation is based on DD4HEP, a recent development from CERN. The geometry of TOPSiDE has been coded, but has not yet been optimized. For instance, for most of the solid angle the energy range of photons is below 10 GeV and needs to be taken into account when defining the thickness of the absorption layers in the calorimeter. Also, details of the Ring Imaging Čerenkov, such as the light collection system, are completely missing.

The track finding and fitting algorithms are out-of-date and difficult to maintain. In particular, part of the code is hard wired which makes changes to the geometry very tedious to implement. In the meantime, we are using a cheater taking advantage of the true information from the event generator to identify hits belonging to a given charged particle.

## Research program

In a first step we propose to replace the outdated track finding with maintainable algorithms, such as Genfind. However, Genfind still requires some tuning or adaption after each change to the tracking detector layout. To avoid this in the future, we propose, in a second step, to develop a novel track finding tool based on machine learning techniques. The advantage will be that after each change to the tracker layout, the track finding task can be optimized in a straightforward way without the need to reprogram algorithms.

Eventually, the same approach will be applied to the Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA) stage of the event reconstruction. Again, current implementations of PFAs require manual intervention after each change in detector geometry. A machine-learning based approach to PFAs will be used, for instance, for estimating the probability that a given cluster of calorimeter hits was created by one or several particles and will find the optimal way to split the cluster if necessary.

The proposed development, if successful, will constitute a breakthrough in the way we approach the optimization of detector geometries. Once available, any detector concept will benefit from this tool. In other words, this algorithm can easily be adopted by other detector concepts being developed by the EIC community.

#### **Deliverables**

The main deliverable of this work is to develop a track finding algorithm based on machine learning. This algorithm will be modular and independent of a particular detector geometry. Thus it can be used by the TOPSiDE detector concept as well as by other detector concepts currently being developed by the EIC community. The development of this software will simplify the detector optimization studies and will provide enhanced control over the detector development process geared towards meeting the physics goals of the EIC experiment.

## **Funding request**

We request support for a postdoctoral research assistant in the amount of \$125k. The major task of the assistant will be the development of a conventional track finding algorithm, followed by a novel development of one based on machine-learning techniques, along with the geometry optimization of TOPSiDE. In addition, a small amount of funds for travel is requested.

The postdoctoral research assistant will be supervised by Sergei Chekanov and José Repond.

|                                    | Postdoc | Travel | Sum    |
|------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| <b>Argonne National Laboratory</b> | \$125k  | \$5k   | \$130k |

**Table II.** Funding requests.

### References

- [1] J. Repond, *TOPSiDE: Concept of an EIC Detector*, Proc. of the XXVI International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects, Kobe, Japan (2018). **PoS DIS2018 (2018) 179**
- [2] F. Sefkow et al., Experimental tests of particle flow calorimetry, Rev. of Modern Physics 88, 15003 (2016).
- [3] M. A. Thomson, *Particle flow calorimetry and the PandoraPFA algorithm*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. **A611** (2009) 25-40.
- [4] C. Adloff et al., *Hadronic energy resolution of a highly granular scintillator-steel hadron calorimeter using software compensation techniques*, JINST 7 (2012) P09017.